

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/763,049	Applicant(s) ROBINSON ET AL.	
	Examiner SCOTT LONG	Art Unit 1633	

All Participants:

(1) SCOTT LONG.

(2) JEANNIE WU.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2010

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 11am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

32, 61, 62

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SCOTT LONG/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to move the limitations from claims 61-62 into claim 32. The resulting claim would be non-obvious over the relevant art because as elaborated in the Applicant's remarks (filed 7/6/2010) at page 5, this combination of elements provides unexpectedly superior results over comparable technologies. After consulting with the applicant, the applicant's representative gave permission for the Examiner's Amendment on Thursday July 15, 2010.